



The Gates are Open




The Fight begins...



The "new" Stockholm

Well, it's about time they built a "new" Stockholm.

After all, what made those "Swedes" think they could have, or should have "their" very own nation with "their" culture, mores, traditions, music, architecture, heritage, language, religion etc.? What does the fact "Swedes" have been in this geographic area they called *their* nation for [1200 years or so](#), have to do with anything? [Give it up](#) you selfish bastards!



FR2
1. [Name] 2. [Name] 3. [Name] 4. [Name] 5. [Name] 6. [Name] 7. [Name] 8. [Name] 9. [Name] 10. [Name] 11. [Name]


Everywhere you turn in a White country you have White people who just happen to like their European based culture. Can you imagine that? Just more relentless White racism happening. You just can't get away from it in any White (all soon to be formerly White) country you go to.

So in order to eradicate the hateful White culture the "Swedes" created, the elite in Sweden intend to confiscate summer homes, and convert old historical buildings and churches into housing in order to facilitate the arrival of new invaders— oops I mean immigrants. Let's just call them Swedes. The new "Swedes" are coming to replace the original

“Swedes”(those blonde haired, blue eyed beauties just gots ta go!).

There are a lot of *joyful* things happening all around those “Swedes” and they just aren’t gettin’ with the program. Let’s take a look at some of the *joys*. The call to prayer, for one thing. What’s not to like about being awakened before dawn to hear Arabic emanating from a loud speaker five times a day including late at night? And who could complain about feeling compelled to dye your natural blonde hair black to attempt to avoid being raped by “immigrants”. I mean the new “Swedes”. After all, you didn’t like your natural blonde hair anyway. C’mon, admit it. And if you happen to think the characteristic White skin, blonde hair, blue eyed “Swede”

is the only thing that makes up a “Swede”, well not anymore, that has got to go too. The lady below looks like a genuine Swede to you, does she not?



How dare you think your ethnic heritage should [continue](#)? Just more White racism. Blot it out now! And the government will help you learn what to do.



And those [areas known as no-go](#) where police are afraid to patrol, keep that under your hat.

So there you have it. A quick tour of some of the *joys* happening in Stockholm, Sweden. Psst. Don't criticize the "new" Sweden, that makes you a hater guilty of hate speech.

Balance cultural beliefs



What does it mean to balance cultural beliefs? It means the tenets of Western culture: practices, mores, standards etc. will be ignored decimated to accommodate the immigrants/refugees/illegal aliens culture because we don't want anyone's feelings to be hurt and God forbid we should demand anyone coming into OUR nations assimilate into OUR culture.

Here's how balancing works.

Let's take healthcare. In all Western nations, hand washing is the FIRST line of defense against spreading disease. All healthcare workers are taught this, commercials advertise this, children are taught this. It's a standard of practice. NO disposable glove or gel hand

sanitizer will replace it. The brilliant Englishman, Doctor Joseph Lister figured out the necessity of hand washing in the 1850's. Fast forward to today where now we have the cultural Marxist con job called multiculturalism and you have the Western culture destroying practice of *balancing*.

From the [UK Telegraph](#) we read

“Muslim staff escape NHS hygiene rule

Muslim doctors and nurses are to be allowed to opt out of strict hygiene rules introduced by the NHS to restrict the spread of hospital superbugs.

That's right. They don't need to follow the clothing, jewelry and hand washing standards that "restrict" which means *prevent* the spread of disease. Superbugs are germs that cause disease.

Female staff who follow the Islamic faith will be allowed to cover their arms to preserve their modesty despite earlier guidance that all staff should be “bare below the elbow”.

Can't have infection control standards interfering with modesty now can we? Why should a healthcare worker be "bare below the elbow" and not wear long sleeves? Because their clothing transfers germs from patient to patient.

The Department of Health has also relaxed rules prohibiting jewellery so that Sikh members of staff can wear bangles linked with their faith, providing they are pushed up the arm while the medic treats a patient.

Won't having some poop laden bracelet dangling in your wound be fun? Think the bracelet wearing so-called healthcare

workers scrub their jewelry between patients? Not a chance in hell.

The Mail on Sunday reported the change had been made after female Muslims objected to being required to expose their arm below the elbow under guidance introduced by Alan Johnson when he was health secretary in 2007.

Gee, she didn't like exposing her arm. I have a solution. Leave.

The rules were drawn up to reduce the number of patients who were falling ill, and even dying, from superbugs such as MRSA and Clostridium difficile.

Yes, the rules prevent the spread of disease and death, but we can't have that, there might be hurt feelings. No hurt feelings are allowed.

Revised guidance which relaxed the requirements for some religions was published last month.

"Relaxed" means eliminated. In other words, they are no longer required to follow hygienic practices like not wearing long sleeves, not wearing dangling bracelets, scrubbing arms and hands that spread disease from patient to patient.

Some Muslim staff and those from other groups may be allowed to use disposable plastic over-sleeves which cover their clothes below the elbow and allow the skin to remain covered up.

NOTHING replaces hygiene practices created by Western man to prevent disease and death. Nothing.

Derek Butler, chairman of MRSA Action UK, said: "My worry is that allowing some medics to use disposable sleeves you

compromise patient safety because unless you change the sleeves between each patient, you spread bacteria.

If they claim showing their forearm is immodest, how many do you think will change their sleeves between patients?

“Scrubbing bare arms is far more effective.”

He said it. Washing is MORE effective. Period. Do you need to know more?

A Department of Health spokesman said: “The guidance is intended to provide direction to services in how they can balance infection control measures with cultural beliefs without compromising patient safety.”


Balancing infection control measures with cultural beliefs while not compromising patient safety is NOT possible.

Non Western Cultural beliefs should NOT take precedence over Western healthcare standards. What non Western people choose to do in THEIR countries is okay with me.

Next time you hear they want to **balance cultural beliefs** you will know what they really mean. Simply more Cultural Marxist BS designed to destroy Western civilization.

http://www.researchgate.net/publication/11794160_Our_dismal_progress_the_recruitment_of_non-whites_into_nursing

Social justice another con
job



<https://refugeeresettlementwatch.wordpress.com/2015/04/06/social-justice-professors-lecture-alaskans-on-refugees-demand-they-be-more-welcoming/>

Social justice really means the

destruction of Western nations and the culture created by Western Man in favor of a third world culture as is demonstrated in places like Somalia. Or Ghana. Here's a news story from Ghana you probably missed.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Lqg8I4w0ItM#action=share>

The Hungarian Prime Minister Viktor Orbán gets it. Recently he stated

“We let go of the delusion of multiculturalism before it turned Hungary into a refugee camp”.

It really is very simple. Borders make great nations just like fences make great neighbors.

“Terrorist organizations recruit fighters to join their ranks from among immigrants living in the continent's western part, while the southern borders of the EU – including our own state's borders – are besieged by waves of

modern-day migration, in the face of which increasingly frustrated states and governments are at a loss. [...]

Europe is facing questions which can no longer be answered within the framework of liberal multiculturalism. Can we shelter people, many of whom are unwilling to accept European culture, or who come here with the intent of destroying European culture?

That is a novel question which the Western people of all Western nations should be asking themselves.

**The truth is social justice isn't justice
-it's a con job.**

**Dorothy we're not in _____
anymore.**

You can fill in the blank with any "western nation" you like. In fact,

the nations formerly classified as “western” (America, Europe, Australia, New Zealand and Canada) barely resemble their original founding people. It seems while the descendents of founding settlers of these nations were snoozing, someone pulled a fast one and decided having a country with a common heritage (western culture aka western civilization) was a bad thing and needed to be eliminated. So we now have this mixture of people from radically different cultures who have no desire to “assimilate” into the founding culture, and seek only to dominate the nations they’ve come to.

Many European nations now have what are classified as “no go” areas. What that means is the people who are descendents of the founding settlers (FYI- White people) are in harms way if they travel into those areas. The cultural Marxists who are behind this demographic change claim the people who are being replaced are at fault. They

claim White people are racists and xenophobes. Nothing could be further from the truth. Dissimilar cultures do not combine, they clash. That is a historical fact. It makes no difference what century or continent you look at. In Los Angeles, the native Black population is being displaced from their homes by the Latino illegal aliens who are mainly from Mexico and by legal immigrants. Read about it on the *Your Black World* website [here](#).

They are erasing Western Culture by replacing it with millions of illegal aliens and legal immigrants who hail from 3rd world nations. What is their endgame? It's very simple. Eradication of the culture created by the founding settlers and their descendents. In other words, eliminating the people of European origin aka White people This is classified as genocide, although few people are willing to admit that because they are terrified of being labeled as a racist, hater, xenophobe, bigot, White supremacist, anti-Semite or a

Nazi howantstokillsixmillionjews. I am none of those things, however the truth is no defense against the cultural Marxists who seek to marginalize the people they oppose.

If you haven't figured it out yet, let me share one other little known fact. If you live in the USA, the GOP and the Democrats are not on your side; they work together against your best interests. They serve their masters, the Rothschild dominated cabal, not you.

The Heritage Foundation claims the price tag for amnesty is 6.3 trillion. That is incorrect. What price tag do you place on the irrevocable genocide of Western people and the destruction of Western Civilization?

This is all part of UN Agenda 21. There are no Western Nations in the NW0, just slave nations.

***** I believe a more accurate count of the # of illegal aliens in


the USA is now about 35-40 million*****

The following is from Numbers USA.

<https://www.numbersusa.com/content/learn/about/question-who-were-more-90-million-people.html>

Question: Who were the more than 90 million people added to the United States since 1970?

Most were immigrants.



Above-replacement-level immigration has doubled U.S. population growth.

There were 203 million people living in the U.S. in 1970 – we'll call them "1970-

stock Americans.” Births to that population have exceeded their deaths, resulting in the growth illustrated in the green block.

The green shows how much growth the U.S. would have had since 1970 if the number of immigrants arriving each year was the same as the number of Americans permanently moving away (currently that is an estimated 225,000). That is known as “replacement-level immigration.”

The red block shows the population growth cause by immigration policies of the U.S. government. It accounts for more than half of population growth since 1970. Although its frontiers were declared closed a century ago, the United States today is adding population at a numerical level just under the phenomenal Baby Boom, which far exceeded all other periods of U.S. population growth.

Why is their natural population growth (as shown by the green area), even though birth rates have fallen below replacement

levels?

Well, it takes decades for a country's population to stabilize after women adopt a family size that is on average 2.1 children. Their children have to finish having their children. Those children have to have their babies and the original mothers have to die off before full stabilization occurs.

A country that wants to stabilize its population has to start around 70 years in advance if fertility drops only to the 2.1 replacement level. Americans have had fertility since 1972 that is somewhat below replacement level. So stabilization could occur a bit sooner.

But even during the 70-year wait for stabilization, a country is able to enjoy substantially reduced population growth. That means the country can enjoy the resulting lowered demands for expanded infrastructure and mass urban development of farmland and natural habitat.

Americans, however, can enjoy none of

that, thanks to Congress and its incredible increase in immigration.

If the chart had been started at any other date in U.S. history, wouldn't it have looked very similar?

No. The last quarter century has been a unique period in U.S. demographic history.

Any other quarter-century slice would show the green –not the red– as the majority of population growth. And not other period except for the Baby Boom (1946-64) would show anywhere close to this much total growth.

At no other time in this country, have recent immigrants and their children (the red block) dominated population growth.

That has many political and sociological ramifications. It means that for the first time in U.S. history when Americans are asked to raise taxes or pay higher prices to provide additional schools, roads, cleaner air, etc., they are asked

to do so not for the additional population and conditions they are creating but for the sake primarily of foreign-born residents and their children.

The majority of all new additional infrastructure needs over the past quarter century are the result of Washington's immigration policies.

Thus, the costs Americans are asked to cover are ones that Congress (through immigration policies), and not American families (through their fertility), have created.

What is meant by "Total U.S. population"?

The circled numbers represent the U.S. Population in millions. The top line of the chart represents the total population of the United States each year.

In 1970, the U.S. population was about 203 million.

Today it has surpassed 293 million.

These numbers come from the U.S. Bureau of the Census which counted the residents of the country in 1970, 1980, 1990, 2000 and a revised projection done by the Census in 2002. All other years are estimated by the Census Bureau based on what was learned in the previous Census, on targeted surveys done each year and on other projection devices.

Does the red block include illegal aliens?

No.

The bar graph counts only the annual number of legal immigrants.

If illegal aliens could be accurately counted and included, it is likely that the 1966-89 period would be revealed as being even more disparate from earlier eras. Illegal immigration is believed to be far higher during recent decades than in the past.

The Census Bureau estimates there are 8 million illegal immigrants currently in

the U.S.

On annual illegal immigration, the Center for Immigration Studies has extrapolated the latest Census data to show that 700,000 to 800,000 new illegal aliens are settling each year. Now, far, far more than that enter illegally each year, but there is a lot of back and forth. The 700,000 to 800,000 represents illegals who truly settle in for at least a couple of years, and usually much, much longer. .

Why do these charts start at the 203-million level?

These charts are about growth.

They are not about the total U.S. population – except tangentially – but about any additional growth in that population.

Astute chart readers are conditioned to raise questions when they see charts that start somewhere other than at zero. By picking a starting point proportionately

far above zero, a chartmaker may be able to distort the impression of the information being portrayed.

But that is not what is happening here.

Because these charts are about population growth – and because there were 203 million people in this country in 1970 – they reveal only the U.S. population above 203 million.

While the 203 million people who are not shown here play a role in plans for roads, schools, parks, sewers and other infrastructure, it is the addition of residents that creates the greatest challenges.

These charts focus on the millions of people who are being added to the roads, schools, parks, and laborforce.

This information comes from the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

To find similar population growth in foreign countries, we must look to the

Third World.

Although its frontiers were declared closed a century ago, the United States today is adding population at a numerical level just under the phenomenal Baby Boom, which far exceeded all other periods of U.S. population growth.

Why do these charts start at 1970?

The era since 1970 has been a unique period of American history. It is the only time that the federal government and the American people have moved in opposite directions in creating the country's demographic future: The American people have chosen family sizes that allow for a stabilized U.S. population; the federal government has chosen policies to force never-ending U.S. population growth.

The year 1970 is around the time of several great changes in America:

1. It was around 1970 – the year of the

first Earth Day – when the American people made a collective commitment to stop squandering their environmental resources and to restore the natural world within their nation's borders to a healthy and sustainable quality. Major laws were passed and agencies established to see that the environmental goals were met. The American people and U.S. companies spent billions of dollars to meet the goals.

2. It was around 1970 that most environmental experts began to agree that it would be difficult for the nation to reach its environmental goals without stabilizing its population at a level not too much higher than the 203 million with which the country began the decade.

3. Although no official population goal was set, a bi-partisan presidential-congressional commission recommended moving toward a stable population to meet environmental, economic and social goals that had been adopted during the Johnson and Nixon administrations.

4. In 1972, the American people – fresh from a historic Baby Boom – lowered their fertility to “replacement level.” Ever since, American fertility has been low enough to allow the population size eventually to stabilize.

5. It was around 1970 that the number of legal immigrants allowed into the country began to rise rapidly as a result of a change in the law back in 1965.

Since 1970, there have been two contradictory blueprints for the nation’s population future:

THE PEOPLE’S BLUEPRINT: The American people since just after 1970 have adopted behaviors and attitudes that – on their own – would move the nation toward a stabilized population size. Through millions of individual and highly personal choices, Americans have adopted on average a family size of two or fewer children while telling pollsters they want a stabilized national population.

WASHINGTON’S BLUEPRINT Since just before

1970, each Congress and each President has adopted a policy allowing immigration far in excess of traditional levels and moving the nation toward constant population increases.

The charts on these pages show how these conflicting visions have affected the demographic direction of the United States. As a Census year, 1970 offers the most logical starting point for measurement.

By starting the charts at 1970, we measure what has happened since around the beginning of the era in which the majority of individual Americans in one way or another embraced population stabilization as a goal.

Where does this data come from? [READ MORE HERE](#)



Who Wants To Destroy Western Civilization?

Marxists want to destroy Western

civilization. Marxism is synonymous with Communism and Bolshevism. Marxists are everywhere. They disguise themselves well. Some Marxists will even change their name in an effort to hide their true identity such as the founder of Marxism, Karl Marx whose real name was [Mordechai Levy](#) , or Vladimir Lenin whose real name was [Vladimir Ilych Ulyanov](#) , or Leon Trotsky whose real name was [Lev Davidovich Bronstein](#).

Marxists typically appoint a *puppet* to sit on the “throne” while they lurk behind the curtain pulling the strings in order to direct their “front men” and advance their agenda. Aleksandr I. Solzhenitsyn said, “The Communist ideology is to destroy your social order. This has been their aim for 125 years and it has never changed; only the methods have changed a little...And what is ideological war? It is a concentration of hatred, a continued repetition of the oath to destroy the Western world.”

And what is the Western world? Everything Christian and ethnic European is the “western world”. Europe, the United States, Canada, Australia and New Zealand make up the “Western” world.

To understand exactly what Marxists are really all about, watching the documentary entitled [*In The Shadow of Hermes*](#) is a good place to begin.



Friday Nov. 2nd Dr. Kevin MacDonald Ethnomasochism? Hmm.

Friday Nov. 2nd

What is ethnomasochism and do you suffer from it? If you do you probably don't even know it. Why? Well, years of browbeating makes one a masochist and if you happen to be a white person, a white gentile to be exact, well you have been brow beaten for a very long time. So long, you no longer have the wherewith-all to stand up for yourself. You have allowed the people behind your denigration to enslave you. And they have almost succeeded. Almost.

<http://kevinmacdonald.net/>

<http://www.theoccidentalobserver.net/author/kmac/>

Kevin MacDonald is Professor of Psychology at California State University–Long Beach. After receiving a Masters degree in evolutionary biology, he received a Ph. D. in Biobehavioral Sciences, both at the University of Connecticut. Since assuming his position at California State University–Long Beach, his research has focused on developing evolutionary perspectives on culture, developmental psychology and personality theory, the origins and maintenance of monogamous marriage in Western Europe, and ethnic relations (group evolutionary strategies). He is the author of more than 100 scholarly papers and reviews, and he is the author of *Social and Personality Development: An Evolutionary Synthesis* (1988), *A People That Shall Dwell Alone: Judaism as a Group Evolutionary Strategy* (1994), *Separation and Its Discontents:*

Toward an Evolutionary Theory of Anti-Semitism (1998), and The Culture of Critique: An Evolutionary Analysis of Jewish Involvement in Twentieth-Century Intellectual and Political Movements (1998). He has also edited three books, Sociobiological Perspectives on Human Development (1988), Parent-Child Play: Descriptions and Implications (1994), and Evolutionary Perspectives on Human Development (2004). *Cultural Insurrections*, a collection of essays, appeared in 2008.

Friday October 19th RamZpaul The truth is hate...

[Friday October 19th](#)

RamZpaul joins me again to continue our conversation about race, racism, nationalism, cultural marxists, refugees, multiculturalism and why the promotion of multiculturalism is only focused on majority European heritage nations: USA, Canada, Australia, NZ, and Europe. No one is pushing for Japan, China, Mexico or any other nations to change their nations into a nation that is no longer majority occupied by the people who founded the nation. So why is it acceptable for that to happen to nations of European Heritage? It isn't.

www.ramzpaul.com

Tuesday October 2nd Dr. Ricardo Duchesne Exterminate dissent...Western Civilization

Tuesday October 2nd

Western Civilization is on the ropes. Dr. Duchesne is a professor in the department of social science at the University of New Brunswick Saint John. He completed a BA in History at McGill University and Concordia University, Montreal.

In 1987 he obtained an MA at Concordia, where he wrote his thesis on the origins of the French Revolution under the supervision of George Rudé, one of the founders of "history from below".

In 1994 he was awarded a doctorate in the renowned multidisciplinary program of Social & Political Thought at York University. His main fields of concentration were modern European history, political economy, and the philosophy of Hegel.

He studied with one of the foremost Hegelian scholars in the English language, H.S. Harris, and with Thomas T. Sekine, a Japanese economist considered to be one of the most important theorists on the field of Marx's theory of value. His Dissertation, "All Contraries Confounded: Historical Materialism and the Transition-to-Capitalism Debate", was awarded the "Doctoral Prize Award for Best Dissertation of the Year," Faculty of Arts,

1995.

In 1995, Dr. Duchesne was appointed assistant professor in the department of social science at the University of New Brunswick, where he has remained since. Dr. Duchesne has published thirty-one articles and review essays.

His publications include one book, 36 refereed articles, one chapter, 13 encyclopedia entries, and 9 non-refereed articles. His book, [*The Uniqueness of Western Civilization*](#), a major work of 528 pages, was released in February 2011. Currently he is doing research on multiculturalism and the identity crisis of the West.

<http://www.brill.com/uniqueness-western-civilization-0> His book can be

purchased here.

Dr. Duchesne believes that the reading of great books, from cover-to-cover, is essential to a university education. The term 'lecture' was originally applied to the exercise of reading – and correcting – the language of handwritten texts. The task of the student was to follow the reading, and make the necessary corrections in the manuscripts. Since the texts were difficult, the teacher would concentrate on explaining and interpreting the manuscripts, line by line, word by word. 'Resources' such as handouts, power-points, and WebCT lectures promote the erroneous notion that knowledge comes ready-to-wear. Knowledge is actually produced through continual

reading, note-taking, dialogue, and rewriting. Duchesne upholds the traditional spirit of broad learning for the BA degree with a multidisciplinary core curriculum taught by generalists with a strong grounding in the Western intellectual tradition.

Selected Publications

- [*The Faustian Impulse and European Exploration.*](#) Fortnightly Review (2012)
- *The Uniqueness of Western Civilization.* (Leiden and Boston: Brill, 2011).
- [*Review-Essay of Civilization: The Six Ways the West Beat The Rest.*](#) (Reviews in History, No. 1225)
- [*Review-Essay of Why the West Rules – for Now: The Patterns of History and What they Reveal about the Future.*](#) Reviews in

History (No. 1091). The Institute of Historical Research, School of Advanced Study, University of London.

- [*Progressives are Running the Universities*](#), University Affairs (December 20, 2010). Reprinted in [National Post](#) (January 3, 2011).
- [*Max Weber is the Measure of the West: a Further Argument of Vries and Goldstone*](#), World History Connected, (2006).
- [*Asia First?*](#) The Journal of the Historical Society (2006)
- [*Globalization, the Industrialization of Puerto Rico and the Limits of Dependency Theory*](#), Journal Fur Entwicklungspolitik (2006).
- [*Christianity is a Hellenistic Religion, and Western Civilization in Christian*](#). Historically Speaking (2006).
- [*Defending the rise of Western Culture against its*](#)

Multicultural critics. The European Legacy (2005).

· Peer Vries, the Great Divergence, and the California School: Who's in and Who's Out. World History Connected (2005).

· The Way of Africa, "the Way I am", and the Hermeneutic Circle. Historically Speaking (2004).

· On the Rise of West: Researching Kenneth Pomeranz's Great Divergence. Review of Radical Political Economics (2004).

· Malthus and the Demographic Systems of Modern Europe and Imperial China. Review of Radical Political Economics (2003).

· Rodney Hilton's Peasant Road to Capitalism. Journal of Peasant Studies (2003).


· The Post-Malthusian World Began in Western Europe in the Eighteenth Century: A Reply to Goldstone and Wong. Science and Society (2003).

- *On the Origins of Capitalism.* Rethinking Marxism (2002).
- *Between Sinocentrism and Eurocentrism: Debating A. G. Frank's ReORIENT.* Science and Society (2001).
- *Brenner on Political Accumulation and Transition to Capitalism.* Review of Radical Political Economics (1999).
- *The French Revolution as a Bourgeois Revolution: A Critique of the Revisionists.* Science and Society (1990).
- *Multicultural Madness: The Fall of British Vancouver and the Rise of Pacific Canada.* The Salisbury Review, The Quarterly Journal of Conservative Thought (2012)

http://www.salisburyreview.co.uk/Multicultural_madness.html

<http://www.universityaffairs.ca/a-response-to-racism-in-the->

[academy.aspx](#)



[Tuesday September 18th Merlin Miller The dogs of war...](#)

Tuesday September 18th This podcast is available on www.orientalkradio.com under the archive section. I will be posting the podcast on my site shortly. I am temporarily unable to post podcasts due to computer issues.

Merlin Miller candidate for POTUS running on the American 3rd Position Party ticket joined me to discuss a variety of issues. Awareness is the first step in the battle. Ignorance is not bliss, it's dangerous.

Monday September 17th Paul Fromm You are Censored!!!

Monday September 17th

Paul Fromm free speech activist joins me to discuss the pretend "free speech" in Canada, America and elsewhere. He has been an advocate for the victims of the anti-free speech commies. His organization has stood up for and helped to defend many victims of the so-called *Human Rights Tribunal*. If you go to his website <http://cafe.nfshost.com/>

you will find a list of some of the people his organization has tried to help. These anti-free speech commies claim anyone who opposes them is a hater. It would appear to me that the only hate

happening is the hate they spew towards anyone who dares to have an opposing opinion.

We had some technical glitches for several minutes, but fortunately for us, Shaun who is the host of Two's Company, Three's Allowed, just happened to be available to pitch hit for me until I could get connected to the system. That's why they call it LIVE radio. Paul talked about censorship in Canada.

Hear Paul on his radio show, The Fighting Side Of Me @ <http://www.counter-currents.com/> and read free speech thoughts <http://cafe.nfshost.com/>
<http://www.canadianfreespeech.com/cafe/home>

UPDATE 2-2014

**Paul Fromm is now heard weekly
as a guest host on the radio show
called Storm-front heard daily on
Rense Radio.
<http://www.renseradio.com/>**



**[Friday September 7th Prof.
Kevin MacDonald Ethnocentrism
and you!](#)**

Friday September 7th

Kevin MacDonald is Professor of Psychology at California State University–Long Beach. After receiving a Masters degree in evolutionary biology, he received a Ph. D. in Biobehavioral Sciences, both at the University of Connecticut. Since assuming his position at California State University–Long Beach, his research has focused on developing evolutionary perspectives on culture, developmental psychology and personality theory, the origins and maintenance of monogamous marriage in Western Europe, and ethnic relations (group evolutionary strategies). He is the author of more than 100 scholarly papers and reviews, and he is the

author of **Social and Personality Development: An Evolutionary Synthesis (1988)**, **A People That Shall Dwell Alone: Judaism as a Group Evolutionary Strategy (1994)**, **Separation and Its Discontents: Toward an Evolutionary Theory of Anti-Semitism (1998)**, and **The Culture of Critique: An Evolutionary Analysis of Jewish Involvement in Twentieth-Century Intellectual and Political Movements (1998)**. He has also edited three books, **Sociobiological Perspectives on Human Development (1988)**, **Parent-Child Play: Descriptions and Implications (1994)**, and **Evolutionary Perspectives on Human Development (2004)**. *Cultural Insurrections*, a collection of essays, appeared in 2008.

He joined me to discuss the article written by Rev. Ted Pike found here:

<http://www.truthtellers.org/alerts/DukeMacDonaldBadJewishGeneTheory.html> as well as, other topics. You can find more info on his websites linked below.

<http://www.kevinmacdonald.net/books.htm>

<http://www.theoccidentobserver.net/>

June 22nd Dr. Bob Whitaker What's in a word?

Friday June 22nd

Dr. Bob Whitaker joins me to discuss how words are used to

control what we think. He created a message aka "mantra" used to fight against White Genocide. You can find his website here www.whitakeronline.org/blog

Here is *The Mantra* by Dr. Whitaker to fight against the genocide of White people. Genocide is an international crime recognized by the United Nations and the International Criminal Court even when committed against White people. See UN Resolution 260, Art II

The Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide 1948, defines genocide as "any of a number of acts committed with the intent to destroy in whole or in part a national, ethnic, RACIAL or

religious group: killing members of the group; causing serious bodily or mental harm to members of the group; deliberately inflicting on the group conditions of life calculated to bring about its physical destruction in whole or in part.”

The Mantra

ASIA FOR THE ASIANS, AFRICA FOR THE AFRICANS, WHITE COUNTRIES FOR EVERYBODY!

Everybody says there is this RACE problem. Everybody says this RACE problem will be solved when the third world pours into EVERY white country and ONLY into white countries.

The Netherlands and Belgium are

just as crowded as Japan or Taiwan, but nobody says Japan or Taiwan will solve this RACE problem by bringing in millions of third worlders and quote assimilating unquote with them.

Everybody says the final solution to this RACE problem is for EVERY white country and ONLY white countries to "assimilate," i.e., intermarry, with all those non-whites.

What if I said there was this RACE problem and this RACE problem would be solved only if hundreds of millions of non-blacks were brought into EVERY black country and ONLY into black countries?

How long would it take anyone to realize I'm not talking about a

RACE problem. I am talking about the final solution to the BLACK problem?

And how long would it take any sane black man to notice this and what kind of psycho black man wouldn't object to this?

But if I tell that obvious truth about the ongoing program of genocide against my race, the white race, Liberals and respectable conservatives agree that I am a nazi who want to kill six million jews.


They say they are anti-racist. What they are is anti-white.

Anti-racist is a code word for anti-white.

If you are concerned about

the demise of Western Civilization which would not exist if it wasn't for White people, then I suggest you start to speak up against their demise. A 3rd world people can never maintain what they couldn't create.

Dr. Whitaker is also the author of several books: A Plague on Both Your Houses, The New Right Papers and Why Johnny Can't Think. All are available for purchase online.



Monday June 18th Illegal Aliens & The Big Lie

Monday June 18th

Frank Jorge former Minuteman joins me to discuss illegal immigration and amnesty for millions of Illegal Aliens. Who comes first in America the citizen or Illegal Aliens? I think citizens come first. We are supposed to be a nation of laws, not a nation where our government picks and chooses which laws are enforced. I don't want anarchy, I want limited government and laws enforced equally.