

“Watermelons”

The Green Movement’s True Colors

James Delingpole

2011

Watermelons Welcome to the New World Order

“It may be better to live under robber barons than under omnipotent moral busybodies. The robber baron’s cruelty may sometimes sleep, his cupidity may at some point be satiated; but those who torment us for our own good will torment us without end, for they do so with the approval of their own conscience.”

CS Lewis

Evil men don’t get up in the morning saying ‘I’m going to do evil’. They say: I’m going to make the world better.”

Christopher Brooker

Excerpted from “Watermeelons” p.151

Delingpole writes that when he began the research for this book he thought it was going to be about Climategate and global warming – not some massive international plot to destroy Western Civilization and replace it with a grisly New World Order based on rationed resources, enforced equality and the return of the barter system.

So brazenly open are the leading ideologues of the green movement about their plans for a ‘New World Order’ that Delingpole is not sure that the word ‘conspiracy’ properly applies.

When you think of a conspiracy you think of something clandestine, underground, hidden.

But these ‘conspirators’ are happy to shout their intentions from the roof tops.

Whether it’s:

- Maurice Strong on his road to Rio.
- John Holdren calling for de-development of the United States.
- Britian’s Tyndall Centre urging a “managed recession”
- A Friends of the earth campaign leaflet
- A Club of Rome policy document
- A report by the UN-sponsored Commission on Global Governance.

The message that emerges is always the same:

- Economic growth must be reined in.
- Resources rationed.
- Personal liberties curtailed.
- Wealth redistributed.
- Private property abolished.
- A new era of ‘global governance’ by experts and other unelected bureaucrats.

You don't need to be a conspiracy theorists to believe in the green movement's master plan for a 'New World Order': only possess the basic ability to read and listen

Excerpted from "Watermelons" pp.178-179

The Science Is Unsettled

"Let's be clear: the work of science has nothing to do with consensus.

Consensus is the business of politics.

Science, on the contrary, requires that only one investigator who happens to be right, which means that he or she has results that are verifiable by reference to the real world.

In science consensus is irrelevant.

What is relevant is reproducible results.

The greatest scientists in history are great precisely because they broke with consensus.

There is no such thing as consensus science.

If it's consensus, it just isn't science

If it's science, it isn't consensus.

Period."

Michael Crichton, The CalTech Michelin lecture, January 17,2003

Excerpted from "Watermelons" p.85

"Global warming is the mother of all environmental scares.

In the scope of its consequences for life on planet Earth and the immense size of its remedies, global warming dwarfs all other environmental and safety scares of our time put together.

Warming (and warming alone), through its primary antidote of withdrawing carbon from production and consumption, is capable of realizing the environmentalist's dream of an egalitarian society based on the rejection of economic growth in favor of a smaller population eating much lower on the food chain, consuming a lot less, and sharing a much lower level of resources much more equally."

Aaron Wildavsky, 1992

Excerpted from "Watermelons" p.131

Propaganda and Dissent

“As far as I can tell the debate is not, by and large, about science. There is broad agreement over major scientific facts among experts who therefore have difficulty even understanding the existence of the popular view. There is broad misunderstanding by many, including nonspecialist scientists. The debate is largely a matter of spin control and intentional misrepresentation. The bulk of relevant information suggests little warming.”

Richard Lindzen, Sloan Professor of Meteorology, MIT

Excerpted from “Watermelons” p.199

AGW is a religion.

It has:

- High priests and prophets – Al Gore, James Hanson
- Temples – The National Academy of Sciences, The IPCC
- Warrior Monks – Leonardo DiCaprio, Ed Begley, Jr.
- A Concept of Original Sin – The Carbon footprint – which can be bought off with indulgences – Carbon Offsets.
- Overwhelming Guilt – We are all sinners but we can redeem ourselves through mortification of the flesh (e.g. replacing bright light bulbs that work with eco-friendly ones.
- Pure Faith – No hard evidence

Excerpted from “Watermelons” p.220

It pays to be an environmentalist

Carter Roberts, President and CEO of World Wildlife Fund Inc.,
Total Compensation (2009): \$455,147

Frances Beinecke, President, Natural resources Defense Council,
Total Compensation (2009); \$432,742

Fred Krupp, President, Environmental defense Fund,
Total Compensation (2009); \$423, 359

And for comparison;
James Delingploe, Blogger, Daily Telegraph,
Total Compensation (2010); less than \$24,000

Excerpted from “Watermelons” p.60

The Club of Rome

“The common enemy of humanity is man.

In searching for a new enemy to unite us, we came up with the idea that pollution, the threat of global warming, water shortages, famine, and the like would fit the bill. All these dangers are caused by human intervention, and it is only through changed attitudes and behavior that they can be overcome.”

“Democracy is not a panacea.

It cannot organize everything and it is unaware of its own limits.

These facts must be faced squarely.

Sacrilegious though this may sound, democracy is no longer suited for the tasks ahead. The complexity and technical nature of many of today’s problems do not always allow elected representatives to make competent decisions at the right time.”

The Council of the Club of Rome, 1991

Excerpted from “Watermelons” p.155

Basic Beliefs of the Club of Rome

- The planet was getting dangerously crowded.
- Resources were fast running out and must somehow be conserved.
- Economic growth was the problem, not the solution
- Urgent action needed to be taken, through the creation of some form of pan-global authority to deal with points above.

Excerpted from “Watermelons” p.153

J. Rennie Whitehead gives an inside account of the doings of the Club of Rome in his “Memiors of a Boffin” in which he appears to be an agreeable, easygoing, gentle old cove who just happens to belong to a group of like-minded chums who possess bags of money, the highest level of connections and the certain knowledge of exactly what needs to be done to save the world.

Only the fact that “what needs to be done” involves depriving people of their democratic rights, destroying their livelihoods, preventing them from reproducing, and stealing their every liberty.

Discretion bordering on invisibility, power without responsibility were very much part of the original plan.

Excerpted from “Watermelons” p.157

The Club of Rome's Master Plan ("Mankind at the Turning Point", 1974)

'In nature organic growth proceeds according to a Master Plan, a blueprint. According to this master plan diversification among cells is determined by the requirements of various organs; the size and shape of the organs and therefore, their growth processes are determined by their function, which in turn depends on the needs of the whole organism.

Such a 'master plan' is missing from the process of growth and development of the world system.

Now is the time to draw up a master plan for organic sustainable growth and world development based on global allocation of all finite resources and a new global economic system."

Note that the use of the word "**sustainable**".

By the mid-90's it would become commonplace.

"Sustainability" entered the vernacular of every middle class household as one of those unimpeachably desirable life-goals you could only possibly disagree with if you were some kind of Neanderthal who didn't care whether your tuna fish was caught with skein nets or dolphin-friendly rod and line.

Few people who used the word had any idea of its origin or meaning.

Delingpole is somewhat cynical about the 's' word.

He thinks it embodies an ideological principle that is far from nice – ***Sustainable Development***

The Green Agenda website gives ***Sustainable Development*** the following description:

"It is an all-encompassing socialist scheme to combine social welfare programs with government control of private business, socialized medicine, national zoning controls of private property and restructuring of school curriculum which serves to indoctrinate children into politically correct group think".

Maurice Strong used the 's' word in his role as secretary-general of the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development in a 1991 report:

"Current lifestyles and consumption patterns of the affluent middle class – involving high meat intake, use of fossil fuels, appliances, home and workplace air conditioning, and suburban housing – are not sustainable . A shift is necessary which will require a vast strengthening of the multilateral system, including the United Nations."

Maurice Strong is the man most responsible for turning the green agenda into world-changing reality!

The Club of Rome invented the weasel concept of 'sustainability' – it was Maurice Strong that made it real.

Excerpted from "Watermelons" p158-162

Imagine

Imagine if everything you knew about the environment was wrong:

- That global warming was something to be desired, not feared.
- That organic food, sustainability, biofuels and the WWF were far more harmful to the world and its inhabitants than GM food, industry, oil, and Exxon Mobil.
- If it didn't matter one jot how big your carbon footprint was and you could go out and buy as many Hummers as you liked or accumulate as many air miles as you wanted without the need to feel the slightest sliver of guilt about the environmental damage you were causing.
- If carbon dioxide were our friend.
- If the world's biggest mass murder was a woman who campaigned against chemical and pesticides, and the world's biggest savior was the man who saved hundreds of millions from hunger with mutant crops and modern agricultural techniques.
- If for a fraction of the money we're spending to "combat climate change" we could ensure that no child went hungry or was malnourished and everyone in the world had access to clean drinking water.
- That 'overpopulation' was an illusory problem.
- That fossil fuels were a miracle we should cherish – not a curse.
- If we could stop worrying about "scarce resources".
- If the polar bears, glaciers, coral reefs, rain forests, Pacific islands, and polar ice caps were all doing fine.
- If economic growth, far from destroying the world, made it cleaner, healthier, happier – and with more open spaces.

Excerpted from "Watermelons" p1-2

James Delingpole in his book "Watermelons" claims all of these 'imagines' are true and supports his thesis with 298 pages of coherent and plausible analyses.

.

Agenda 21

In May 1992, Maurice Strong at the Earth Summit in Rio de Janeiro persuaded 179 nations to surrender their sovereignty by signing up for perhaps the most far-reaching and constrictive code of environmentally correct practice in the history of the world:

A document known as Agenda 21:

"Humanity stands at a defining moment in history.....No nation can achieve this on its own: but together we can – in a global partnership for sustainable development."

Perhaps the phrase "global partnership for sustainable development" would get your attention.

Would it be a polite way of saying "One World eco-fascist government"?

Agenda 21 effectively puts an end to national sovereignty, abolishes private property, elevates nature above man, and places a host of restrictions on what we've come to accept as our most basic freedoms – everything from how, when, and where we travel to what we eat.

In the bright new future envisioned by Agenda 21 your behavior will be determined by the dictates of an enlightened elite over which you have absolutely no control. Some may not like it – but if a world government dictatorship is the price we all must pay for saving our planet – then this is what needs to happen.

“The concept of national sovereignty has been an immutable, indeed sacred, principle of international relations.

It is a principle which will yield only slowly and reluctantly to the new imperatives of global environmental cooperation.

It is simply not feasible for sovereignty to be exercised unilaterally by individual nation states, however powerful.

The global community must be assured of environmental security.”

Maurice Strong

It is astonishing how little coverage has been granted to a document right up there in significance with the Declaration of Independence and the Magna Carta.

Agenda 21 is a wolf in sheep's clothing.

The reason governments found it easy enough to sign is because it contains no legally binding obligations.

But then, it doesn't need to, for its apparently voluntary codes can be enforced – and are regularly, scrupulously enforced – via a mechanism over which sovereign countries have little control: the vast, labyrinthine, democratically unaccountable behemoth that is the United Nations.

Beyond the bickering, self-defeating apparatus of the General Assembly, there is another, much larger and more extended part of the United Nations that is more effective and directed – and a lot more dangerous

It comprises bodies such as:

- The Economic Commission of Europe (ECE) – a green activist wing of the UN that uses its \$30 million annual budget to campaign for ‘rational use of resources for sustainable development’.
- The International Council of Scientific Unions (ISCU)
- The World Meteorological Organization (WMO)
- The United Nations Environmental Program (UNEP)

Which between them were responsible for setting up the IPCC!!

Excerpted from “Watermelons” pp.163-166

Agenda 21 Enforcement

It is operating mainly at the local level as follows:

- Local environmental activists create a Local Agenda 21 (LA21) – they promote signing up to the ‘voluntary’ code of Agenda 21.
- Local government agrees – around the world 1200 districts have signed up. Over 600 districts have signed up in the U.S.
- The local government signatory is welcomed into the fold of the ICLEI – Local Governments for Sustainability – the UN-funded pressure group responsible for promoting Agenda 21. belonging to ICLEI enhance the possibility of a local government to receive grants and other financial inducements.
- In return for attaining this shiny new green status symbol, the local government feels honor-bound to promote the ‘sustainability’ agenda it has committed to. Zoning regulations are changed to encourage ‘high density’ housing in town centers and to prevent suburban development on farmland.
- And there ain’t nothing you can do about it.

The last item makes Agenda 21 so scary – the utter lack of democratic accountability.

Agenda 21 pays lip-service to grassroots ‘people power’ while it circumvents the democratic process entirely.

James Simpson has written on the “Big Government” website:

In “Sustainable Development”(Marxists) have found a magic mantra.

It has allowed them to insinuate all of their socialist fantasies into our legal code, under our noses, with little or no fanfare, scant public debate, and graveyard noises from our treacherously AWOL mass media, right down to the local level – with our permission.

Excerpted from “Watermelons” p.168-172

Agenda 21: Conspiracy Theory or Real Threat?

TUESDAY, JULY 26, 2011

7/2/2011

Rachel Alexander

Americans are so focused on Congress and Obama at the federal level of government right now that most are overlooking the socialism creeping in at the local level through Agenda 21. It is easy to overlook local government since people are saturated with too much information in the internet age. Compounding this is the fact that Agenda 21 is a dull topic, and it becomes understandable how it has been able to fly mostly under the radar since 1992, slowly working its way into our cities and counties.

Agenda 21, which reportedly means an agenda for the 21st century, is a United Nations program

launched in 1992 for the vague purpose of achieving global "sustainable development." Congress never approved Agenda 21, although Presidents Obama, Clinton and George H.W. Bush have all signed Executive Orders implementing it. 178 other world leaders agreed to it in 1992 at the Rio Summit. Since then, the U.N. has mostly bypassed national governments, using Agenda 21's International Council of Local Environmental Initiatives ("ICLEI") to make agreements directly with local governments. ICLEI's U.S. presence has grown to include agreements with over 600 cities, towns and counties here, which are now copying the land use plans prescribed in Agenda 21.

Some conservatives are trying to attract attention to Agenda 21 by labeling it a secret conspiracy to create a one world government. While that will wake some people up, it will turn off others. It does not matter whether it is a conspiracy or not. There are people on the left side of the political spectrum - who may even believe they have good intentions - working together to spread their vision for society worldwide. Whether they meet in dark rooms or openly in public meetings is irrelevant; they are having great success convincing local governments in the U.S. to adopt their socialist and extreme environmentalist programs under the guise of feel-good buzz words. Left wing billionaire George Soros's Open Society has provided \$2,147,415 to ICLEI. Van Jones' Green for All and the Tides Foundations' Apollo Alliance are also reportedly ICLEI contributors.

Agenda 21 ostensibly seeks to promote "sustainability" (the latest revisionist word for "environmentalism," since Americans have learned too many negative things about environmentalism). "Sustainability" is an amorphous concept that can be interpreted to an extreme degree that would regulate and restrict many parts of our lives. When will the level of carbon emissions be low enough? How much must we reduce our consumption of fossil fuels? Preserving the environment is a dubious science, and what steps are really necessary to protect the environment are anyone's guess.

Agenda 21 promotes European socialist goals that will erode our freedoms and liberties. Most of its vague, lofty sounding phrases cause the average person's eyes to glaze over, making it easier to sneak into our communities. The environmentalist goals include atmospheric protection, combating pollution, protecting fragile environments, and conserving biological diversity. Agenda 21 goes well beyond environmentalism. Other broad goals include combating poverty, changing consumption patterns, promoting health, and reducing private property ownership, single-family homes, private car ownership, and privately owned farms. It seeks to cram people into small livable areas and institute population control. There is a plan for "social justice" that will redistribute wealth.

Once these vague, overly broad goals are adopted, they are being interpreted to allow massive amounts of new, overreaching regulations. Joyce Morrison from Eco-logic Powerhouse says Agenda 21 is so broad it will affect the way we "live, eat, learn and communicate." Berit Kjos, author of Brave New Schools, warns that Agenda 21 "regulation would severely limit water, electricity, and transportation - even deny human access to our most treasured wilderness areas, it would monitor all lands and people. No one would be free from the watchful eye of the new global tracking and information system." Even one of the authors of Agenda 21 has admitted that it "...calls for specific changes in the activities of all people..." These steps are already being enacted little by little at the local levels.

Since the U.S. is one of the wealthiest countries in the world, and uses more energy than any other country, it stands to lose the most from environmental regulations. The goal of "sustainability," which comes down to using government to heavy-handedly accomplish vague goals of caring for the earth, goes contrary to our free market capitalism. Even more unfair,

struggling third world countries and communist countries that cannot financially afford to comply with the onerous environmental regulations will continue their high levels of fossil fuel consumption, and the U.S. will be forced by U.N. regulators to conserve even more to make up for those countries.

Obama signed Executive Order 13575 earlier this month, establishing a "White House Rural Council" prescribed by Agenda 21. The amount of government Obama has directed to administer this is staggering. Obama committed thousands of federal employees in 25 federal agencies to promote sustainability in rural areas, completely bypassing Congressional approval. Some of these agencies are unrelated to rural areas. The agencies will entice local communities into adopting Agenda 21 programs by providing them millions of dollars in grants. Dr. Ileana Johnson Paugh writing for Canada Free Press analyzed the order and wrote, "it establishes unchecked federal control into rural America in education, food supply, land use, water use, recreation, property, energy, and the lives of 16% of the U.S. population."

Tea party groups, talk show host Glenn Beck, and organizations like Freedom Advocates, Catholic Investigative Agency and Sovereignty International are working hard to expose Agenda 21, but there is only so much a few can do. Some local governments have become aware of what Agenda 21 is really about and dropped out of ICLEI this year. The Carroll County Board of Commissioners, Montgomery County in Pennsylvania and the city of Edmond, Oklahoma have all withdrawn their participation.

It will be difficult to defeat Agenda 21 because it requires changing the attitudes of over 600 separate localities across the U.S. Ideally, a conservative president could roll back the executive orders implementing it, but considering Republican President H.W. Bush was a disappointment in this area that may be too much to hope for. If Republicans take over Congress they could challenge the huge power grab Obama made with Executive Order 13575 and ban Agenda 21 in the U.S. For now, local activists must champion this issue, much like Texans for Accountable Government has done, educating local boards and commissions and serving on them. Agenda 21 is a tedious and overwhelming topic, and until it can be explained in an easy-to-understand way that interests the average American, it will be tough to beat back.

[Source](#)

POSTED BY ARSENIO A. LEMBERT JR. AT 4:57 PM 

[EMAIL THIS BLOGTHIS!](#) [SHARE TO TWITTER](#) [SHARE TO FACEBOOK](#)
[SHARE TO GOOGLE BUZZ](#)

LABELS: [AGENDA 21](#), [EXECUTIVE ORDER](#), [GLOBALISM](#),
[SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT](#), [U.N.](#), [USA](#), [WORLD GOVERNMENT](#)

FROM: [ENDRTIMES.BLOGSPOT.COM](#)

